# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0606-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 116

Subject: Children and Minors; Family Law; Domestic Relations; Courts

Type: Revised#
Date: March 7, 2011

#Revised to reflect updated information from the Office of the Attorney General.

Bill Summary: This legislation updates the Uniform Interstate Family Support Act.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
General Revenue#	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund#	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 0606-01 Bill No. SB 116 Page 2 of 4 March 7, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).#

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 0606-01 Bill No. SB 116 Page 3 of 4 March 7, 2011

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

#### Sections 210.844 & 454.1500 - 454.1730:

Officials from the **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, **Office of Prosecution Services** and the **Missouri State Governor** each assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their respective agencies.

#Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General (AGO)** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS)** assume the proposed legislation would require the Family Support Division to change its procedures and forms when the change in law becomes effective (i.e., when the U.S. deposits its instrument of ratification of the Hague Convention). These changes can be accomplished with existing staff.

Officials from the **St. Louis Family Court** have not responded to Oversight's request for fiscal information.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>#\$0</u>	<u>#\$0</u>	<u>#\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

SEC:LR:OD (12/02)

L.R. No. 0606-01 Bill No. SB 116 Page 4 of 4 March 7, 2011

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

#The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of the Attorney General Office of the State Courts Administrator Department of Social Services Missouri State Governor Office of Prosecution Services

**Not Responding: St. Louis Family Court** 

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director March 7, 2011